Optical dating of deep-sea sediments using single grains of quartz: a comparison with radiocarbon
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Abstract

In this paper, we demonstrate that optical dating of single grains of quartz offers an alternative means of dating deep-sea sediments. The precision and accuracy of the technique, which has the potential to date sediments deposited during the last 500,000 years or so, is limited by the random and systematic uncertainties associated with producing optical ages. These result in total relative age uncertainties of between 10% and 20% at the 68% confidence interval, which are similar in size to those associated with Late Quaternary oxygen-isotope chronologies. We analysed single grains of quartz from several depth intervals down core Fr10/95-GC17, which was collected offshore from Cape Range Peninsula, Western Australia, from a water depth of 1093 m in the eastern Indian Ocean. The single-grain optical ages are shown to be consistent with AMS radiocarbon ages obtained from planktonic foraminifera from the same core. We also show that marine sediments are not immune from partial or heterogeneous bleaching (incomplete resetting) of the optical dating signal. Where partial or heterogeneous bleaching of the optical dating signal is indicated, we recommend that single-grain dating be employed and the burial dose estimated from the population of grains with the lowest absorbed radiation dose.
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1. Introduction

Deep-sea sediment cores are important sources of palaeoenvironmental information, but dating of such sediments remains problematic. Accelerator Mass Spectrometry radiocarbon (AMS 14C) dating is limited to organic materials younger than about 50,000–60,000 years, and uncertainties of up to several millennia remain in converting 14C ages to calendar-year ages due to temporal variations in atmospheric 14C concentrations (e.g., Laj et al., 2002; Hughen et al., 2004) and the marine carbon reservoir (Sikes et al., 2000). Application of uranium–thorium (U/Th) radionuclide techniques, which can extend well beyond the limit of 14C dating, is complicated by the presence of variable amounts of initial 230Th (Henderson and Slowey, 2000). Chronologies developed
by tuning of global ice volume, as recorded by marine oxygen-isotopes, to changes in the Earth’s orbit (Martinson et al., 1987) are dependent on the assumed model of climate change. If this model is wrong, then the chronology may be inadequate (Henderson and Slowey, 2000). Current estimates of the total uncertainty associated with oxygen-isotope chronologies are frequently of the order of 5000–10,000 years over the last 300,000 years (Martinson et al., 1987). A dating technique is needed that (a) is not linked to models of past climate change; (b) is independent of variations in the marine carbon reservoir; (c) is able to date events that occurred before and after 50,000–60,000 years ago; and (d) is independent of variations in the chemistry of deep-sea sediments.

Deep-sea sediments were one of the first types of natural deposit investigated using luminescence dating (Wintle and Huntley, 1979, 1980; Berger et al., 1984). But a variety of uncertainties, including the adequacy of exposure of the sediments to sunlight at the time of deposition, discouraged the widespread application of thermoluminescence (TL) dating techniques to marine sediments (Stokes et al., 2003). The subsequent development of optical dating (Huntley et al., 1985; Aitken, 1998) has enabled the most light-sensitive signals from quartz and feldspar to be selectively exploited, and this has incited interest in using the optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) signals to obtain burial ages for deep-sea sediments. Stokes et al. (2003) recently proposed that optical dating of multiple-grain aliquots of silt-sized quartz could be used to provide depositional ages for deep-sea sediments. They examined two independently dated sediment cores from the northwestern Indian Ocean (~300 km from the nearest landmass and >3000 m water depth). The nine optical ages produced during that study agreed well with the independent chronologies and had reported relative standard errors of 3–6% on ages ranging from 7000 to 117,000 years.

1.1. Optical dating

Optical dating relies on the fact that, when buried, quartz grains begin to accumulate a trapped-charge population that increases in a measurable and predictable way in response to the ionising radiation dose to which the grains are exposed. Exposure to sunlight releases the light-sensitive trapped charge, thereby resetting the OSL signal; a process commonly referred to as ‘bleaching’. The time elapsed since sediment grains were last exposed to sunlight may be estimated by measuring the OSL signal from a sample of sediment, determining the equivalent dose ($D_e$) that this represents (for which the SI unit is the gray, Gy), and estimating the rate of exposure of the grains to ionising radiation averaged over the period of burial. The latter parameter of interest is termed the dose rate ($D_r$). The burial age of well-bleached grains may then be obtained from the following equation:

$$\text{Burial age} = \frac{D_e}{D_r}$$

The OSL signal of clean quartz grains exposed directly to sunlight is reduced to a negligible level within a few seconds (Aitken, 1998; Wintle, 1997). However, incomplete or non-uniform bleaching is commonplace in many depositional environments (Murray and Olley, 2002), due to surface coatings on the grains and/or insufficient exposure to sunlight during sediment transport. This results in grains being deposited with a heterogeneous distribution of residual trapped charge, and a correspondingly wide range of measured $D_e$ values. For such sediments, the population of grains with the lowest measured $D_e$ values provides the most accurate estimate of $D_b$: the burial dose to which those grains that were well bleached at deposition have been exposed since the most recent transport event (Olley et al., 1998, 1999, in press).

1.2. This study

Stokes et al. (2003) examined aliquots of quartz that consisted of many thousands of silt-sized grains. Aliquots composed of such a large number of grains would be expected to mask any evidence of partial bleaching (Olley et al., 1999), yet the authors reported that a small number of aliquots produced $D_e$ values that lay beyond three standard deviations of the mean. The cause of the spread in observed $D_e$ values remains unexplained, but its existence suggests that partial or heterogeneous bleaching may be an issue for luminescence dating of deep-sea sediments. Here we examine the extent of partial bleaching of deep-sea sediments by tuning of global ice volume, as recorded by marine oxygen-isotopes, to changes in the Earth’s orbit (Martinson et al., 1987) are dependent on the assumed model of climate change. If this model is wrong, then the chronology may be inadequate (Henderson and Slowey, 2000). Current estimates of the total uncertainty associated with oxygen-isotope chronologies are frequently of the order of 5000–10,000 years over the last 300,000 years (Martinson et al., 1987). A dating technique is needed that (a) is not linked to models of past climate change; (b) is independent of variations in the marine carbon reservoir; (c) is able to date events that occurred before and after 50,000–60,000 years ago; and (d) is independent of variations in the chemistry of deep-sea sediments.
sediments by means of single-grain optical dating, and compare the resulting optical ages to the calibrated $^{14}$C chronology obtained for planktonic foraminifera from the same sediment core. The degree of sediment bleaching, the accuracy and precision of the optical ages, and the potential age range for the technique in the marine environment are explored.

2. The study core

Gravity core Fr10/95-GC17 (referred to as GC17 hereafter) was collected from 60 km west of Cape Range Peninsula, northwestern Australia ($22^\circ 02.74^\prime$ S, $113^\circ 30.11^\prime$ E), from a water depth of 1093 m in the eastern Indian Ocean. It is one of the most intensively studied deep-sea cores from the Australian region. Past investigations have examined changes in the planktonic foraminifera (Martinez et al., 1999), calcareous nanoplankton (Takahashi and Okada, 2000), clays (Gingele et al., 2001), calcium carbonate percentage (De Deckker, 2001), pollen (van der Kaars and De Deckker, 2002), benthic foraminifera (Murgese, 2003), dinoflagellates (M. Young, in preparation), and organic and inorganic carbon (M. Sloan, unpublished data). All these studies point to the core being one of high quality, suitable for determining patterns of environmental change in both the marine and terrestrial environments, and with no evidence of reworking, or a hiatus in deposition, within the last ~45,000 years. The upper 95 cm of the core has a characteristically yellowish brown colour (10YR4/3) and the deeper deposits are typically olive grey in colour (7.5Y5/2). The transition between the two distinct colours occurs over a depth interval of 5 cm, but with no corresponding change in the depositional environment. A total of 15 AMS $^{14}$C ages on planktonic foraminifera, which give the most accurate carbon-age for marine sediment horizons (Ohkouchi et al., 2002), provides a chronology for the upper 250 cm of the core (van der Kaars and De Deckker, 2002).

The core site is under the pathway of aeolian dust transported from the Australian mainland (Jennings, 1968; Bowler, 1978), and quartz grains of fine-sand and silt size are present throughout the core. Modern dust storms in Australia move millions of tonnes of sediment (Hesse and McTainsh, 2003), and model results indicate that dust activity during the Last Glacial Maximum was an order-of-magnitude higher than it is today (Harrison et al., 2001). Deposition rates in the eastern Indian Ocean of up to 0.8 mg cm$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, or ~0.5 cm per 1000 years, are reported to have occurred in the last 50,000 years (Hesse and McTainsh, 2003).

3. Sample descriptions and treatment

Water contents were determined at 2 cm intervals down the core. For the OSL analyses, sediment samples OSL 1 to OSL 7 were collected from depth intervals of 7–8, 57–58, 83–84, 123–124, 139–140, 181–184 and 248.5–251.5 cm, respectively. These horizons had been sampled previously for $^{14}$C dating. Sand-sized grains of quartz (60–70 $\mu$m in diameter) were extracted from each of the OSL samples using standard purification procedures (e.g., Aitken, 1998). The quartz grains were then etched in 40% hydrofluoric acid for 50 min to remove the outer ~10 $\mu$m rinds and to completely remove any whole feldspar grains. Finally, acid-soluble fluorides were removed in 15% hydrochloric acid. Although heavy minerals were not removed by means of density separation, the absence of dark-coloured minerals in the purified extracts was verified by visual inspection of the OSL samples. To test for the presence of heavy minerals, a density separation (at 2.70 g cm$^{-3}$) was made on a sample composed of material collected from above and below sample OSL 6. Measurements of the lithogenic radionuclide concentrations were made on sediment samples collected from a continuous narrow strip of sediment cut from 7 cm above to 15 cm below the OSL sampling depth for the near-surface sample, and from 15 cm above to 15 cm below for all other OSL samples.

4. Analytical methods

Equivalent doses were determined from measurements of the OSL signals emitted by single grains of quartz. The etched quartz grains were loaded on to aluminium discs (custom-made at CSIRO) that were drilled with a 10 × 10 array of chambers, each of 100 $\mu$m depth and 100 $\mu$m diameter. The OSL measurements were made on a Risø TL/OSL-DA-15 reader.
using a green (532 nm) laser for optical stimulation.

The OSL signals were measured for 1 s at 125°C with the laser held at 90% power (i.e., continuous-wave OSL), using a preheat of 240°C (held for 10 s) for the ‘natural’ and regenerative doses, and a preheat of 160°C (held for 10 s) for the test doses (each of 6.0 Gy). The OSL signals induced by the test doses are used to correct for any changes in OSL sensitivity during the natural and regenerative dose cycles. The OSL signals were determined from the initial 0.1 s of data, using the final 0.2 s to estimate the background count rates. Each disc was exposed to infrared (IR) radiation for 40 s at 125°C before each of the laser stimulations to minimise any contribution to the OSL output from IR-sensitive minerals (e.g., feldspars) internal to the quartz grains (e.g., Huntley et al., 1993). Each grain received a series of regenerative doses (of up to 130 Gy) from which a response curve of OSL intensity versus dose was constructed, including a zero-dose check for the extent of thermal transfer (Aitken, 1998) and a repeat dose point to examine the adequacy of the test dose sensitivity-correction procedure. Grains were rejected if they did not produce a measurable OSL signal in response to the test dose (grains that were accepted produced between 100 and 26,000 counts in response to the test dose, with an average of ~ 2500 counts); had OSL decay curves that did not reach background after 1 s of laser stimulation (~ 1% of grains); or, for a further ~ 1% of grains, produced natural OSL signals that did not intercept the regenerated dose-response curves (‘Class 3’ grains of Yoshida et al., 2000). The reported $D_e$ uncertainties for each grain are based on counting statistics and curve-fitting uncertainties, including a 3.5% uncertainty (for each OSL measurement) to accommodate the reproducibility with which the laser beam can be positioned (Truscott et al., 2000).

Linearly modulated (LM) OSL measurements were made on 100 separate grains from sample OSL 6 (181–184 cm). This sample showed a wide spread in equivalent doses ($7.9 \pm 0.7$ to $110 \pm 20$ Gy) measured using continuous-wave stimulation. The OSL signal from quartz consists of a number of components that bleach at different rates (Singarayer et al., 2000; Singarayer and Bailey, 2003, 2004). Comparison of the LM-OSL signals dominated by the fast-to-bleach and slow-to-bleach components provides a means of deducing the degree to which individual quartz grains had been bleached by sunlight prior to deposition (Yoshida et al., 2003). The LM-OSL signals were measured for 30 s at 125°C with the laser ramped from 0% to 90% power, using the same preheat conditions as above. Net OSL counts for the fast-dominated and slow-dominated LM-OSL components were obtained from the initial 5 s and last 5 s of data, respectively. Background counts were estimated for the same time intervals from a second laser stimulation following each LM-OSL measurement. Following Olley et al. (in press), each disc was also exposed to IR radiation for 40 s at 125°C before each of the paired (signal and background) laser stimulations.

The dose rates were determined from the radionuclide concentrations in dried and powdered subsamples of sediment, which were analysed using a combination of high-resolution gamma spectrometry for $^{228}$Ra, $^{228}$Th, $^{226}$Ra, $^{210}$Pb and $^{40}$K (Murray et al., 1987) and alpha-particle spectrometry for $^{238}$U, $^{234}$U, $^{230}$Th and $^{232}$Th (Martin and Hancock, 1992). Independent checks on calibration were performed using various standards from Amersham and the U.S. National Bureau of Standards, and from IAEA intercomparisons. Dose rates were calculated using the conversion factors listed in Tables 9–12 of Stokes et al. (2003). The dry dose rates were adjusted for water content, following Aitken (1985), using the average water content of the material 15 cm to either side of each OSL sample to represent the ‘as measured’ value. The latter was then adjusted to allow for variations in water content during the period of sample burial (due to sediment compaction), using the measured water contents for the overlying sediments and assuming a linear rate of sediment accumulation. In the case of the near-surface sample, the average water content from 0 to 23 cm depth was used. Beta dose attenuation factors were taken from Mejdahl (1979), and the effective internal alpha dose rates were estimated as ~ 0.03 mGy year$^{-1}$ (similar to values reported previously for Australian quartz grains by Bowler et al., 2003).
5. Results and discussion

5.1. Radiocarbon ages

The 15 AMS $^{14}$C ages on planktonic foraminifera belonging to *Globigerinoides sacculifer* are reported in Table 1. The 1σ and 2σ calibrated $^{14}$C age ranges are also reported in Table 1, and the 1σ calibrated ages are plotted against sample depth in Fig. 1. The calibrated $^{14}$C age ranges for the samples from depths above 140 cm were determined using the marine calibration of Calib 4.3 (Stuiver et al., 1998). For the three samples from depths of between 150 and 250 cm, we have used the calibration dataset ofHughen et al. (2004), which extends the $^{14}$C time scale back to 50,000 calendar years before the present. A marine reservoir age of 400 years was subtracted from the conventional (uncalibrated) $^{14}$C ages (in Table 1) before calibration. The reported age ranges incorporate both the error on the $^{14}$C age and the uncertainty in the calibration.

5.2. Optical ages

5.2.1. Dose rates

The radionuclide concentrations for the samples are summarised in Table 2. In each sample, the measured concentrations of $^{232}$Th, $^{228}$Ra and $^{228}$Th from the thorium decay series are within analytical uncertainty, consistent with the decay chain presently being in secular equilibrium. Consequently, the reported $^{232}$Th concentrations listed in Table 2 represent the weighted means of the measured $^{232}$Th, $^{228}$Ra and $^{228}$Th concentrations for each sample. A conspicuous feature of the $^{232}$Th-series nuclides is the two to eight times higher concentrations in the upper yellowish brown (10YR4/3) sediments than in the lower olive grey (7.5Y5/2) sediments. A similar pattern is evident in the $^{40}$K data, with concentrations in the upper horizons being two to nine times those in the deeper sediments. The higher concentrations of the $^{232}$Th-series nuclides and $^{40}$K in the upper horizons probably reflect the higher terrigenous clay content of this unit (Gingele et al., 2001).

In the $^{238}$U decay series, measured concentrations of $^{230}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{210}$Pb in all of the samples (except the near-surface sample) are consistent with secular equilibrium. The near-surface sample has a $^{210}$Pb excess of $12.9 \pm 2.3$ Bq kg$^{-1}$, but the decay of $^{210}$Pb contributes negligibly to the dose rate. Accordingly, the $^{230}$Th results reported in Table 2 are the weighted means of the measured concentrations of $^{230}$Th, $^{226}$Ra and $^{210}$Pb, except for the near-surface sample, for which the reported value is the weighted mean of the measured concentrations of $^{226}$Ra and $^{230}$Th. The concentrations of $^{234}$U are in excess of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling depth (cm)</th>
<th>Laboratory sample code</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age$^{a}$ (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated radiocarbon 1σ age range (years)</th>
<th>Calibrated radiocarbon 2σ age range (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>ANUA 13031</td>
<td>1630 ± 85</td>
<td>970–1340</td>
<td>790–1550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20</td>
<td>ANUA 13030</td>
<td>2150 ± 60</td>
<td>1110–2450</td>
<td>570–3150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–46</td>
<td>ANUA 13029</td>
<td>4050 ± 225</td>
<td>3800–4390</td>
<td>3510–4680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57–58</td>
<td>ANUA 13028</td>
<td>4760 ± 220</td>
<td>4780–5320</td>
<td>4500–5560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–66</td>
<td>ANUA 13027</td>
<td>6200 ± 180</td>
<td>6430–6840</td>
<td>6270–7080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–76</td>
<td>ANUA 13026</td>
<td>7370 ± 190</td>
<td>7640–7990</td>
<td>7480–8180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83–84</td>
<td>ANUA 13025</td>
<td>7930 ± 220</td>
<td>8150–8630</td>
<td>7970–8870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97–98</td>
<td>ANUA 6124</td>
<td>9420 ± 230</td>
<td>9800–10,330</td>
<td>9460–10,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105–106</td>
<td>ANUA 13024</td>
<td>11,330 ± 260</td>
<td>12,370–13,150</td>
<td>12,050–13,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123–124</td>
<td>OZD741</td>
<td>14,300 ± 110</td>
<td>16,300–16,820</td>
<td>16,060–17,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139–140</td>
<td>OZD744</td>
<td>19,250 ± 280</td>
<td>21,780–22,730</td>
<td>21,360–23,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149–150</td>
<td>ANUA 13023</td>
<td>20,240 ± 550</td>
<td>21,750–23,900</td>
<td>20,600–24,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181–184</td>
<td>ANUA 13022</td>
<td>27,820 ± 780</td>
<td>28,900–32,600</td>
<td>28,200–34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248.5–251.5</td>
<td>ANUA 13020</td>
<td>40,650 ± 1130</td>
<td>40,200–46,500</td>
<td>38,400–48,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ The ANUA values differ slightly from those reported earlier due to correction for fractionation and the adoption of more realistic errors.
$^{238}\text{U}$, as expected for carbonaceous marine sediments (Ivanovich and Harmon, 1992), and are also in excess of the $^{230}\text{Th}$ concentrations in all of the samples.

Disequilibria in the $^{238}\text{U}$ decay series are well documented for deep-sea sediments (Ivanovich and Harmon, 1992). This gives rise to variations in radionuclide concentrations over time, with commensurate variations in the dose rates. Consequently, we have used an iterative model similar to those implemented by previous luminescence researchers (Wintle and Huntley, 1979, 1980; Stokes et al., 2003) to determine the effective dose rate for each sample integrated over the entire period of burial. The model allows for the in-growth of $^{230}\text{Th}$ and the decay of $^{234}\text{U}$ over the period of burial. For each sample, the measured radionuclide concentrations are initially used in conjunction with the $D_e$ to provide a first approximation of the burial age. This age estimate is then used as the time interval over which the time-dependent variations in radionuclide concentrations are modelled to yield an improved, time-averaged, estimate of the dose rate. The latter is then used to calculate a second burial age for the sample, which is input to the model as a closer approximation of the true period of burial.
over which the dose rate should be adjusted for time-dependent variations in $^{230}\text{Th}$ and $^{234}\text{U}$. This process is repeated until the changes in the estimated dose rate, and hence the burial age, become insignificant compared to their associated uncertainties. Correcting for the time-dependent variations in $^{230}\text{Th}$ and $^{234}\text{U}$ produced a decrease of 1–5% in the estimated dose rates, compared to the corresponding values obtained using the ‘as measured’ (present day) radionuclide concentrations. Following Wintle and Huntley (1980), we have also corrected the gamma dose rate for the rate of sediment accumulation (using the data in Table H.1 of Aitken, 1985), and the calculated effective fractions of the infinite matrix gamma dose for each OSL sample are reported in Table 3. The calculated total dose rates ($D_t$) are listed in Table 4.

5.2.2. OSL data

The single-grain $D_e$ estimates for each of the samples are displayed in radial plots in Fig. 2. The over-dispersion parameter $\sigma_d$ in Table 4 represents the relative standard deviation of the single-grain $D_e$ distribution after having allowed for statistical estimation error. These values were obtained using the ‘central age model’ of Galbraith et al. (1999) to provide an estimate of the dispersion over and above the measurement error associated with each grain; if the latter were the only source of variation in $D_e$, then $\sigma_d$ would be zero. Single-grain $D_e$ estimates on natural samples are typically over-dispersed, with $\sigma_d$ values of 9–22% for samples that are thought, or known, to have been well bleached at the time of deposition (Murray and Roberts, 1997; Roberts et al., 1998, 2000; Jacobs et al., 2003; Olley et al., in press; Galbraith et al., in press).

For the samples examined in this study, the $\sigma_d$ values range from 0% to 34% (Table 4). Samples with over-dispersion values of less than 20% were considered to have been uniformly bleached at the time of deposition, and the central age model was used to determine the burial dose ($D_b$). However, samples OSL 5 and OSL 6 yielded $\sigma_d$ values of 34% and 31%, respectively. The corresponding single-grain $D_e$ values for sample OSL 5 ranged from 5.8 ± 1.8 to 40 ± 8 Gy, with a weighted mean of 11.2 ± 0.7 Gy (calculated using the central age model). And the $D_e$ values for sample OSL 6 ranged from 7.9 ± 0.7 to 110 ± 20 Gy, with a weighted mean of 23.5 ± 0.9 Gy. The spread in $D_e$ values from sample OSL 5 is similar to the 10–40 Gy spread in $D_e$ reported for single grains from Holocene sediments considered to have been partially bleached at the time of deposition (Olley et al., in press); the ∼ 100 Gy spread in $D_e$ values from sample OSL 6 is the largest yet reported.

Table 2
Sample water contents (% of dry weight), and lithogenic radionuclide concentrations and their standard errors (in Bq kg$^{-1}$), for each OSL sample from core Fr10/95-GC17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Water content (%)</th>
<th>$^{238}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{234}\text{U}$</th>
<th>$^{230}\text{Th}$a</th>
<th>$^{232}\text{Th}$b</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{K}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSL 1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>46.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>52.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>26.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>209 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>48.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>54.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>24.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>20.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>257 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>44.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>19.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>240 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>59.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>29.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>48.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>55.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>29.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>39.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>47.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>28.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>66 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>51.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>37.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>96 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reported results are the weighted means of the measured concentrations of $^{230}\text{Th}$, $^{226}\text{Ra}$ and $^{210}\text{Pb}$, except for the near-surface sample (OSL 1), which had a $^{210}\text{Pb}$ excess of 12.9 ± 2.3 Bq kg$^{-1}$. The reported value for the latter sample is the weighted mean of the measured concentrations of $^{230}\text{Th}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$.

b Reported results are the weighted means of the measured $^{232}\text{Th}$, $^{228}\text{Ra}$ and $^{228}\text{Th}$ concentrations.

Table 3
The calculated effective fractions of the infinite matrix gamma dose for each OSL sample from core Fr10/95-GC17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSL 1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 3</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 4</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 5</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 6</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSL 7</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4
Sampling depths, laboratory sample codes, percentage of grain with measurable $D_e$ values, $D_e$ over-dispersion ($\sigma_e$, in %), dose rates ($D_s$), burial dose estimates ($D_b$), and calculated burial ages for each OSL sample from core Fr10/95-GC17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling depth (cm)</th>
<th>Laboratory sample code</th>
<th>Measurable grains (%)</th>
<th>Over-dispersion (%)</th>
<th>$D_f$ (mGy year$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_b$ (Gy)</th>
<th>Optical age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>OSL 1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1780 ± 290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57–58</td>
<td>OSL 2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.12</td>
<td>6490 ± 730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83–84</td>
<td>OSL 3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.10</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8600 ± 1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123–124</td>
<td>OSL 4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.06</td>
<td>7.71 ± 0.23</td>
<td>17,900 ± 2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139–140</td>
<td>OSL 5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.07</td>
<td>8.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>18,700 ± 3900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181–184</td>
<td>OSL 6</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.06</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>31,900 ± 4300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248.5–251.5</td>
<td>OSL 7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.08</td>
<td>33.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>51,100 ± 6500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several mechanisms have the potential to cause a spread in $D_e$ values, including (i) beta dose heterogeneity (Olley et al., 1997; Nathan et al., 2003), (ii) partial bleaching (Olley et al., 1999, in press), and (iii) post-depositional disturbance, resulting in the intrusion of grains from underlying or overlying sediments (Roberts et al., 1999).

Close proximity of quartz grains to radioactive heavy minerals, such as zircons, would impart an enhanced beta dose (Roberts et al., 1999). Similarly, close proximity of grains to large, non-radioactive minerals (>1 mm in diameter) would result in their receiving a lower beta dose (Olley et al., 1997; Nathan et al., 2003). None of the OSL samples examined in this study contained dark-coloured minerals (indicative of some heavy minerals) and no heavy minerals (>2.70 g cm$^{-3}$) were obtained from density separation of the material collected from above and below sample OSL 6. The samples did, however, contain foraminiferal tests of ~300 µm in diameter. Uranium, thorium and potassium concentrations in foraminiferal tests are generally very low. If we assume that they are zero, where the effect would be at a maximum, a 300-µm-diameter test in contact with a 60-µm-diameter quartz grain would lower the effective beta dose by less than 10% in comparison to a grain surrounded entirely by fine sediment. Over a burial period of 30,000 years, the resulting difference in the total dose rate for individual grains would generate a spread of less than 1 Gy in the measured single-grain $D_e$ values. From this calculation, and the absence of heavy minerals, we conclude that the spread in $D_e$ observed in samples OSL 5 and OSL 6 is unlikely to have resulted from beta dose heterogeneity.

Comparison of $D_e$ estimates obtained from the fast-dominated and slow-dominated components of the LM-OSL signal provides a means of assessing if grains were fully bleached at the time of deposition (Yoshida et al., 2003). The fast-dominated component is typically erased by a few seconds of exposure to sunlight (Aitken, 1998), whereas the slow-dominated component can take several hours or days to be fully reset (Singarayer et al., 2000). If grains were well bleached at the time of deposition, then the $D_e$ estimates from both the fast- and slow-dominated components should be concordant (i.e., fast $D_e$/slow $D_e$ ratios consistent with unity). By contrast, partial

Fig. 2. Radial plots (Galbraith, 1988) of the single-grain $D_e$ estimates (Gy) for each of the OSL samples; the identifying numbers correspond to the OSL sample codes in Tables 2—4. The measured $D_e$ (in Gy) for a grain can be read by tracing a line from the y-axis origin through the point until the line intersects the radial axis (log scale) on the right-hand side. The corresponding standard error for this estimate can be read by extending a line vertically to intersect the x-axis. The x-axis has two scales: one plots the relative standard error of the $D_e$ estimate (in %) and the other (‘Precision’) plots the reciprocal standard error. Therefore, values with the highest precisions and the smallest relative errors plot closest to the radial axis on the right of the diagram, and the least precise estimates plot furthest to the left. The horizontal lines in the plots indicate the burial doses used to calculate the optical ages, and the shaded regions denote those data that are consistent with the burial doses at the 95% confidence interval. The burial doses for samples OSL 1–4 and OSL 7 were obtained using the central age model, while the burial doses of samples OSL 5 and OSL 6 were determined using the minimum age model; the central age model estimates for the latter two samples are shown as inclined thick black lines in the radial plots. The open triangles in box 6 denote the $D_e$ estimates determined from the fast-dominated component of the LM-OSL emissions from individual grains of sample OSL 6.
bleaching would result in the \(D_e\) estimates from the fast-dominated component being lower than those obtained from the slow-dominated component (i.e., fast/slow ratios of < 1). We measured 100 grains from sample OSL 6 using LM-OSL. The \(D_e\) estimates from the fast-component exhibit a similar range of values as those produced using continuous-wave OSL (Fig. 2, box 6). Of the measured grains, 75 produced measurable \(D_e\) values for both the fast- and slow-dominated components (with neither dose-response curve reaching saturation): 56 of these had fast/slow ratios consistent with unity at 2\(\sigma\), while the remaining 19 grains had fast/slow ratios of less than 1, which we attribute to partial bleaching (Fig. 3). The continuous-wave OSL and LM-OSL data for sample OSL 6 both indicate that some of the constituent grains were insufficiently bleached at the time of deposition, and that marine sediments are not immune from partial or heterogeneous bleaching.

On the basis of this finding for sample OSL 6, we infer that the spread in single-grain \(D_e\) estimates for sample OSL 5 also results from partial bleaching. Consequently, we have used the ‘minimum age model’ of Galbraith et al. (1999) to estimate the burial dose for these two Pleistocene samples; Olley et al. (in press) have previously recommended use of this model for partially bleached Holocene sediments from a variety of depositional environments. The existence of incomplete or heterogeneous bleaching of the quartz grains means that any method that measures the \(D_e\) using a large number of grains (e.g., >100) in either single or multiple aliquots is likely to produce an overestimate of the true burial dose (Olley et al., 1999, in press).

The estimated burial doses are indicated by a thin horizontal line in each of the radial plots (Fig. 2) and are listed in Table 4, together with the calculated optical ages. For comparison, the central age model estimates of \(D_b\) for samples OSL 5 and OSL 6 are also shown (as inclined thick black lines) in Fig. 2.

5.2.3. Optical ages

The optical ages are in correct stratigraphic order (Table 4; Fig. 1) and range from 1780 ± 290 years to 51,100 ± 6500 years, with total relative uncertainties (at the 68% confidence interval) of between 11% and 21%. These uncertainties are significantly larger than those reported by Stokes et al. (2003) for optical ages of deep-sea sediments from the northwestern Indian Ocean, which ranged from 3% to 6%. Our analysis of the data presented by Stokes et al. (2003) indicates that their quoted uncertainties include only the random errors associated with the determination of the optical ages, whereas our reported uncertainties include both the random and systematic components. In any comparison of luminescence ages with independent chronologies, it is crucial that the uncertainties on the luminescence ages include contributions from all known components, including systematic uncertainties (see, for example, Appendix B of Aitken, 1985). It is the latter that, ultimately, limit the precision and accuracy attainable in luminescence dating (Murray and Olley, 2002). Some systematic uncertainties that are difficult to avoid include those associated with conversions from radionuclide concentration data to dose rates, the absolute calibration of the concentration measurements, the calibration of laboratory beta sources, and the determination of beta dose attenuation factors. Each of these uncertainties are of the order of ~3% (e.g., Aitken, 1985; Brennan, 2003). When other sources of systematic uncertainty, such as those associated with water content, are also propagated through to determine the total uncertainty on the age, it is difficult to obtain luminescence ages for deep-sea sediments with total relative uncertainties of much less than 10% at the 68% confidence interval. (For terrestrial and shallow marine sediments, it is
also necessary to include the systematic uncertainty associated with the cosmic-ray contribution to the dose rate, which may be of the order of ±10%; Prescott and Hutton, 1994.) We note, however, that relative uncertainties of 10–20% of the mean age are similar to those associated with oxygen-isotope chronologies for the last 300,000 years (Martinson et al., 1987).

5.3. Comparison of optical and 14C ages

The optical ages are plotted against the calibrated 14C age ranges (at 1σ) in Fig. 4. We conducted a one-sample Student’s t-test on the seven paired 14C/OSL samples to determine if the differences between the 14C and optical ages are significantly different from zero. To take account of the uncertainties associated with each sample age, we calculated a “standard normal” value; that is, the difference between the 14C and optical ages for each sample, divided by the standard error of the difference, which was calculated as the square root of the sum of the squares of the two corresponding age uncertainties. A one-sample Student’s t-test was then performed on the standard normal values to determine if they differed significantly from zero. There was no significant difference at the 95% confidence interval. Bearing in mind the uncertainties on both sets of ages, we conclude that there is no evidence for a systematic difference between the 14C and OSL chronologies from ~1500 to 50,000 years ago.

The spread in single-grain $D_e$ values for samples OSL 5 and OSL 6 is consistent with some of the quartz grains having been partially bleached at the time of deposition, and the LM-OSL data for sample OSL 6 support this inference. The poor bleaching of the grains may have arisen because of a surface coating on some of the grains or because they were transported at night; we consider it unlikely that the spread in $D_e$ values is the result of beta dose heterogeneity. The weighted mean $D_e$ estimates for samples OSL 5 (11.2 ± 0.7 Gy) and OSL 6 (23.5 ± 0.9 Gy)
correspond to optical ages of 25,200 ± 4000 and 49,000 ± 6600 years, respectively (Fig. 4). The weighted mean age for sample OSL 5 is consistent with the calibrated $^{14}$C age at the 1σ level, as is the optical age determined using the minimum age model. For sample OSL 6, however, the weighted mean age is inconsistent with the $^{14}$C age, even at 2σ. For the latter sample, measuring the $D_e$ distribution using single grains of quartz and employing the minimum age model to estimate the burial dose from the lowest population of $D_e$ values is the best available means of obtaining a burial age that agrees with the $^{14}$C chronology. This approach selects the most completely bleached grains for determination of the burial age. So too does the use of LM-OSL data obtained from grains with fast $D_e$/slow $D_e$ ratios consistent with unity: the burial dose of 16.8 ± 0.8 Gy obtained using the minimum age model applied to the 56 such grains from sample OSL 6 (Fig. 3) corresponds to a burial age of 35,000 ± 4800 years, which agrees with the $^{14}$C age at the 68% confidence interval.

The results for sample OSL 6 clearly show that heterogeneous bleaching of the optical dating signal can occur in marine sediments, and that aeolian transport of grains prior to fallout in the ocean does not guarantee that all grains will be well bleached at the time of deposition. To have confidence in optical ages for marine sediments, we recommend that samples be routinely checked for partial or heterogeneous bleaching by measuring single grains, or small aliquots, of quartz and explicitly determining the extent of any $D_e$ over-dispersion. In cases where the data over-dispersion suggests partial or heterogeneous bleaching of the OSL signal ($\sigma_d$>20% for single grains), the minimum age model should be used to estimate the burial dose from the lowest $D_e$ population. For samples that appear to have been well bleached at the time of deposition ($\sigma_d$<20% for single grains), the central age model should be used to calculate the burial dose.

5.4. Maximum age limit for optical dating

The upper age limit of optical dating depends on both the environmental dose rate and the dose at which the quartz grains reach saturation (Yoshida et al., 2000). Fig. 5 shows the regenerated dose-response curves (up to 130 Gy) for four grains typical of those examined in this study. Within the range of applied doses, only one of these (grain 4) has attained dose-saturation (at ~ 100 Gy). Most of the grains examined were not saturated at applied doses of 130 GY. The data for grains 1, 2, 3 and 4 are shown in Fig. 5.
Dose rates in the samples from the core ranged from 0.43 to 0.91 mGy year$^{-1}$, corresponding to an age range of ~140,000 to ~300,000 years for grains with $D_b$ values of 130 Gy. The fitted curves in Fig. 5 indicate that grains 1–3 would be saturated at doses of 250–300 Gy, which would enable optical ages to be obtained for sediments deposited up to 700,000 years ago. The uncertainties associated with curve fitting and interpolation of the natural dose increase for samples that are close to saturation. These expanded random uncertainties, taken together with the above discussion on the various systematic uncertainties associated with optical dating, render it unlikely that samples approaching or exceeding 500,000 years in age can be dated with a precision of better than ~20%.

6. Conclusions

We conclude that optical dating using single grains of quartz offers an alternative and accurate means of dating deep-sea sediments deposited up to 500,000 years ago, or possibly longer. At present, however, the random and systematic uncertainties associated with optical dating of marine sediments are sufficiently large as to preclude age determinations with total uncertainties of less than ~10%; relative uncertainties of this size are, nonetheless, of comparable precision to those associated with Late Quaternary oxygen-isotope chronologies. We had intended to use the optical ages to examine past changes in the marine carbon reservoir, but this objective was precluded by the size of the uncertainties associated with the optical ages.

It is also concluded that heterogeneous bleaching of the optical dating signal occurs in marine sediments, and that aeolian transport of sediment prior to deposition in the marine environment is not a sufficient guarantee that all grains will have been adequately bleached at the time of deposition. Samples should, therefore, be routinely checked for partial or heterogeneous bleaching to provide confidence in optical age determinations for marine sediments. Where partial or heterogeneous bleaching of the OSL signal is indicated, single-grain dating should be employed and the minimum age model (Galbraith et al., 1999) used to estimate the burial dose from the population of grains with the smallest $D_b$ values. For well-bleached samples, the central age model (Galbraith et al., 1999) is recommended, as this takes into account any $D_b$ dispersion over and above the measurement error associated with each grain.
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